
A Bibliometric Survey of the Literature Published by Emerald on 'Library Consortia' from

1990 - 2016

Amit Kumar

Assistant Professor

Dept. of Library & Information Science

Mizoram University, Aizawl

Abstract

This paper explores the growth and development of the periodical literature published by Emerald on the concept 'Library Consortia' and to provide the bibliography for ready reference on the subject from the study. Bibliographic data obtained from the Emerald database (www.emeraldinsight.com) using keywords like 'Library Consortia', 'Library Consortium', 'Consortia' and 'Consortium' etc. and recorded in MS-Excel-2010 sheet for analysis and interpretation purpose. The study finds 107 different categories of items have been published by Emerald during 1990 to 2016 in the respective discipline and 1999 and 2003 with 18.18% and 8.41 respectively were the most productive years in context of the total no. of literature (articles/research papers) published. Furthermore, it was found that Emerald has published more research papers in compare to the other categories of literature.

Keywords

Library Consortia; Library Consortium; Academic Libraries; ICT and e-Learning etc.

Electronic access

The journal is available at www.jalis.in



Journal of Advances in Library and Information Science
ISSN: 2277-2219 Vol. 6. No.2. 2017. pp 104-113

INTRODUCTION TO THE CONCEPT CONSORTIA

Consequent upon the ICT, rapid changes are taking place in librarianship and publishing. Majority of the information is being generated in electronic form, as a result, the abode of knowledge is changing from books or any other print form to databases. So, in order to keep pace with the advancement of ICT and changes taking place in librarianship, information professionals and organizations are trying hard to find out the solutions and consortia is one of them. To popularize the new philosophies and its applications, literature plays a vital role as it is the only medium to communicate the ideas to the society. The present study is an attempt to explore the literature published and its trend on 'library consortia' by Emerald from 1990 - 2016. Basically, a library consortia is a group of two or more libraries working together for a common cause for meeting the information requirement of patrons. There are several reasons responsible that have influenced the libraries and LIS professionals to adopt the concept of consortia like (i) Budget Scarcity; (ii) Rising Cost of E-resources; (iii) Information Explosion; (iv) Incapability in Self-Sufficiency; (v) Willingness of Publishers means positive response from publisher's side; (vi) Multidimensional needs of the users; (vii) Speedy access to information; (viii) Improving the quality of research service; (ix) Professionalism or Changing role of librarian (from conservator of information to information disseminator); and (x) Future Developments etc.

REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE

Library consortia have emerged as a boon for the libraries of the problems like budget scarcity, exponential growth of information, rising cost of information and many others. Keeping in view such problems, several scholars and academicians studies about the concept and shared their experiences and suggestions for the others. Numerous studies have been conducted on 'library consortia' all over the world. For instance, *Fresnido and Yap, (2014)* in their study have revealed that the consortia plan an important role in the development of academic libraries particularly in the promotion of professional development and resource sharing. And further authors discuss that as technology greatly influences the way libraries do things, the varying level of technological development among consortium member libraries confirmed to be a major challenge being faced by them today. *Chen (2013)* confirms that library consortia can help in improving and

enhancing the interlibrary loan and document delivery services by introducing those services to new users, broadening the pool of materials available for resource sharing, and increasing the requisite skill sets of ILL practitioners. *Plemnek and Sokolova (2015)* discuss the current situation and history of cooperation and resource sharing in Russian libraries and describe the resources and services provided cooperatively. Further, the paper discusses about the fundamental changes occurred in Russian libraries as a result of the consortia services development, particularly in resource sharing. *Ye and Bryant, (2015)* in their study confirm that the resource sharing has become a hot topic in the library world where libraries are facing budget scarcity, space limits and short of human resource challenges. And this systems' integration will help libraries to add new services without adding staff. *Maskell (2008)* discusses in a study that university librarians primarily see consortia activity as supporting academic libraries' public good role of providing access to information as equitably and as barrier-free as possible. They saw consortia as more than just buying clubs, but also as a means for libraries to share resources and expertise. *David, Judy, and Pambanisha (2014)* identify and discuss about the changes and trends in consortia-wide transaction volumes, staffing levels, campus document delivery, professional issues and challenges and library organizational schemes. *Park (2007)* in his study have tried to investigate the Korean consortia models and discuss how the consortium governing body, Korea Education & Research Information Service (KERIS), manages the e-book consortium effectively from the Korean perspective. And the study presents two types of e-book consortium models. One is the subscription model and the other is the purchasing model. Both sharing and purchasing options are quite cost-effective for Korean universities since they try to balance the digital and paper collections. The consortium model for e-books in Korean universities was successful and fit into the conservative collection management in Korea for academic use. *Forro (2014)* aims to evaluate and report on the challenges faced by a multinational academic library consortium with a heterogeneous membership and widely varying collection resources. And the study finds that coordinating the work of an academic library consortium that spans the globe presents challenges such as an inability to set a meeting time – and place – that is agreeable to all and copyright laws that vary from one country to the next.

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

In order to accomplish the purpose of research, following objectives of the study were set to identify:

1. Growth and development of the literature on library consortia by Emerald;
2. Authorship, pagination and citation pattern used by the author(s) and further, the country producing the literature in respective field;
3. Leading and most productive journals and year in LIS field covering the literature on library consortia; and
4. To compile a bibliography of the literature on the concept 'library consortia'.

SCOPE OF THE STUDY

The present study is confined to the literature published in scholarly peer reviewed journals of Emerald on library consortia and the main focus of the study was to extract the literature published exclusively on 'library consortia' and to prepare a bibliography for ready reference for the academia (see *Appendix*).

METHODOLOGY

In order to accomplish the above set of research objectives bibliographic data of the articles published were extracted from the emerald database using the keywords such as 'library consortia'; 'library consortium'; 'consortia'; and 'consortium' etc. The bibliographical data were recorded in MS-Excel-2010 sheet for the analysis and interpretation of data. To arrange the bibliography of selected literature, Harvard Referencing Standard which is followed by Emerald is being used (Singh, 2013 and Gill, 2013).

DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

The entire data obtained from 26 scholarly peer reviewed journals/book series of the Emerald database have been thoroughly scrutinized and analyzed using MS-Excel 2010. The following tables reflect the clear picture of present Bibliometric study of the periodical literature published by Emerald on 'library consortia'.

Table 1: Categories of Literature Published

S. N.	Categories of Literature	No. of Items	Percentage (N=107)	Rank
1	Research Paper	34	31.78	1
2	Case Study	22	20.56	2
3	General Review	18	16.82	3
4	Secondary Article	15	14.02	4

5	Chapter Item	04	3.74	5
6	Viewpoint	03	2.80	6
7	Literature Review	02	1.87	7
8	Conceptual Paper	02	1.87	7
9	Research Paper/Case Study	02	1.87	7
10	Case Study/Research Paper	02	1.87	7
11	Paper Review	01	0.93	8
12	Technical Paper	01	0.93	8
13	Case Study/Technical paper	01	0.93	8
	Total	107		

Table 1 presents the category of literature with its number and percentage published by Emerald on the subject. It was found that the research paper category dominates among others with 31.78% (34) of the total followed by case study i.e. 20.56 (22) whereas technical paper and paper review are at bottom with 0.93. Further, it can also be seen from the table that 3 items were found with two types of categories mentioned.

Table 2: Year-wise distributions of Literature

Year	No. of Articles	Percentage (N= 107)	Cumulative Growth	Rank
1990	-	-	0	11
1991	-	-	0	11
1992	-	-	0	11
1993	03	2.80	03	08
1994	-	-	03	11
1995	02	1.87	05	09
1996	01	1.87	06	10
1997	01	1.87	07	10
1998	03	2.80	10	08
1999	15	14.02	25	01
2000	06	5.61	31	05
2001	07	6.54	38	04
2002	07	6.54	45	04
2003	09	8.41	54	02
2004	04	3.74	58	07
2005	01	1.87	59	10
2006	03	2.80	62	08
2007	03	2.80	65	08
2008	03	2.80	68	08
2009	07	6.54	75	04
2010	04	3.74	79	07
2011	02	1.87	81	09
2012	04	3.74	85	07

2013	03	3.80	88	08
2014	08	7.48	96	03
2015	05	4.67	101	06
2016	06	5.61	107	05
Total	107			

Table 2 reveals the year-wise growth of literature on the subject. The study found that 1999 was the most productive year with 14.02 % (15) of the total followed by 2003 and 2014 with 8.41% (9) and 7.48% (8) respectively, further it was found that no literature were published in the years 1990-1992 and 1994.

Table 3: Ranking of Journals with no. of Literature Published

S. N.	Journal	No.	Percentage (N=107)	Rank
1	Interlending & Document Supply	22	20.56	1
2	Library Management	18	16.82	2
3	Library Consortium Management: An International Journal	12	11.21	3
4	Library Hi Tech	06	5.61	4
5	Library Review	06	5.61	4
6	The Bottom Line: Managing Library Finances	06	5.61	4
7	The Electronic Library	06	5.61	4
8	Collection Building	03	2.80	5
9	Program	03	2.80	5
10	Advances in Librarianship	02	1.87	6
11	Advances in Library Administration and Organization	02	1.87	6
12	Asian Libraries	02	1.87	6
13	Library Hi Tech News	02	1.87	6
14	New Library World	02	1.87	6
15	OCLC Systems & Services: International digital library perspectives	02	1.87	6
16	The Bottom Line	02	1.87	6
17	Program: electronic library and information systems	02	1.87	6
18	British Journal of Clinical Governance	01	0.93	7
19	Health Manpower Management	01	0.93	7
20	International Journal of	01	0.93	7

	Contemporary Hospitality Management			
21	International Journal of Educational Management	01	0.93	7
22	Journal of Knowledge Management	01	0.93	7
23	Online and CD-ROM Review	01	0.93	7
24	Performance Measurement and Metrics	01	0.93	7
25	Reference Services Review	01	0.93	7
26	VINE	01	0.93	7
	Total	107		

Table 3 presents the core journals/periodicals covering the literature on the subject and ranked as core journals/periodicals of Emerald. It can be seen from the above table that Interlending & Document Supply is the journal covers the largest no. of literature i.e. 20.56% (22) followed by followed by Library Management with 16.82% (18) at 2 and Library Consortium Management: An International Journal with 11.21% (12) at 3.

Table 4: Pagination Pattern

No. of Pages	No. of Article	Percentage (N=107)	Rank
0-10	70	65.42	1
11-20	31	28.97	2
21-30	05	4.67	3
Above 30	01	0.93	4
Total	107		

Table 4 depicts the pagination pattern of the periodical literature published by Emerald with their rank on subject. It is revealed from the table that majority of the literature i.e. 65.42% (70) is between 1-10 pages, followed by 28.97% (31) between 11-20 and 4.67% (05) between 21-30 pages, and only 0.93% (01) literature published by Emerald with more than 30 pages.

Table 5: Authorship Pattern

Authorship Pattern	No. of Items	Percentage (%), (N=107)	Rank
Single	56	52.34	1
Double	23	21.50	2
Three	11	10.28	3
More than Three	05	4.67	5
No Author/	12	11.21	4

Institutional/Editorial			
Total	107		

Table 5 depicts the authorship pattern of the literature published by Emerald with their rank on the subject. It can be seen from the above table that the majority of the literature i.e. 52.34% (56) of the total is being published as single author followed by 21.50% (23) by double authors, 10.28 % (11) with three authors, and 4.67% (05) with more than three authors. Further, 11.21% (12) of the total literature found with either no author means published by either institution or editorial publication.

Table 6: Country-wise distribution of the literature

S.N.	Country	Literature in No.	Percentage (N=105)	Rank
1	USA	38	36.19	01
2	UK	21	20	02
3	Australia	02	1.90	7
4	Botswana	01	0.95	8
5	Canada	06	5.71	4
6	China	04	3.81	5
7	Ghana	02	1.90	7
8	Greece	03	2.86	6
9	Iceland	01	0.95	8
10	India	08	7.48	3
11	Italy	01	0.95	8
12	Jamaica	01	0.95	8
13	Malawi	02	1.90	7
14	Malaysia	01	0.95	8
15	Nigeria	02	1.90	7
16	Philippines	01	0.95	8
17	Russia	01	0.95	8
18	Saudi Arabia	01	0.95	8
19	South Africa	03	2.86	6
20	Spain	02	1.90	7
21	Swaziland	01	0.95	8
22	Taiwan	02	1.90	7
23	Turkey	01	0.95	8
	Total	105		

Table 6 presents the country-wise literature with rank published by Emerald on the subject. Table reveals that USA is the most productive country producing the literature i.e. 36.19% (38), followed by UK with 20% (21) and India with 7.48% (08) at 2nd and 3rd rank respectively. Further it was found that only 23 countries hold their share in published literature on the subject during the period of 1990-2016.

Table 7: Authorship of one item by two or more different countries

S. N.	Country	Literature in No.	Name of the Authors	Year
1	Iran and India	01	Golnessa Galyani Moghaddam (Iran) and V.G. Talawar (India)	2009
2	USA and Australia	01	D. E. Perushek (USA) and Anne Douglas (Australia)	2014
	Total	02		

Table 7 reveals the authorship of one item by two different countries and two articles published in 2009 and 2014, out of the total i.e. 107, were found on the subject written by authors of two different countries on the concept 'library consortia'.

Table 8: Citation Pattern

S.No.	No. of Citation	No. of Items	Percentage (N=107)	Rank
1	0-10	66	61.68	1
2	11-20	16	14.95	2
3	21-30	13	12.15	3
4	Above 30	12	11.22	4
	Total	107		

Table 8 depicts the citation pattern with numbers used by the authors of the literature published by Emerald on the subject. Table shows that citation pattern i.e. 0-10 dominates with 61.68% (66) of the total followed by 14.95% (16) in between 11-20, 12.15% (13) in between 21-30, and 11.22% (12) above 30, respectively.

FINDINGS AND CONCLUSION

On the basis of the analysis of data collected, major findings from the present study may be summarized as follows:

- ☞ There are total 26 core journals/periodical of Emerald that have published literature on the subject during 1990-2016 and research paper category of literature is the most popular category among the authors publishing literature in the discipline.
- ☞ Interlending & Document Supply is the most productive journal publishing literature on the subject followed by Library Management and Library Consortium Management: An International Journal with rank 2nd and 3rd respectively.
- ☞ Study finds that only 23 countries across the world hold their share in literature published by Emerald on the subject during the period of study i.e. 1990-2016.
- ☞ Majority of the literature i.e. 52.34% are being produced by single authors. It is shown in table that single authors constitute the highest percentage i.e. 52.34% against two authors (21.50%), three authors (10.28%), and more than three authors (4.67%). This shows the prevalence of solo research in the field.
- ☞ It is revealed from the study that the pagination pattern of the literature published is being dominated by the category of below 10 during the period of study.
- ☞ It is found from the study majority of the items i.e. 61.68% have cited/used other's literature in between 0-10.

The study investigates the literature published on 'library consortia' and finds that numerous literatures on the concept have been published by Emerald. The literature published on the subject has made the LIS professionals to understand the concept of library consortia and its application to libraries and have helped a lot to popularise the concept. Now in today's environment majority of the libraries across the globe are the member of consortia and getting maximum in the minimum investments and able to assure the quality information and services to their patrons. In short, it can be said that Consortia is something which is welcomed by the libraries that promises the quality of information for the patrons.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Chen, Shunzhong (2013).Promoting resource sharing through consortia: a case study of Shanghai Library", *Interlending & Document Supply*, 41(2)59-61, doi: 10.1108/ILDS-09-2012-0030
- [2]. David, P. Atkins; Judy, T. Greenwood; and Pambanisha, Whaley (2014).Benchmarking and pondering ASERL interlibrary loan operations, 2010 and 2013, *Interlending & Document Supply*, 42(1),16-25, doi: 10.1108/ILDS-01-2014-0010
- [3]. Forro, Denise (2014).Tyranny of distance: the challenges of coordinating a multinational consortium, *Interlending & Document Supply*, 42(2/3),83-87, doi: 10.1108/ILDS-01-2014-0004
- [4]. Fresnido, Ana Maria Balenbin and Yap, Joseph Marmol (2014).Academic library consortia in the Philippines: hanging in the balance, *Library Management*, 35(1/2),15-36, doi: 10.1108/LM-04-2013-0028
- [5]. Maskell, Catherine A. (2008) "Consortia: anti-competitive or in the public good?", *Library Hi Tech*,26(2),164-183, doi: 10.1108/07378830810880298
- [6]. Plemnek, Alexander and Sokolova, Natalia (2015).Sharing resources of Russian libraries: 10 years of consortia services development, *Interlending & Document Supply*, 43(3),151-159, doi: 10.1108/ILDS-03-2015-0012
- [7]. Singh, K. P. and Gill, Malkeet Singh. (2013).Web 2.0 Technologies in Libraries: A Survey of Periodical Literature Published by Emerald, *Library Review*,62(3), 177-198. doi: 10.1108/00242531311329491
- [8]. Ye, Gan (Grace) and Bryant, Sally (2015).Streamlined request services: the integration of ILS, ILL and Consortia borrowing systems, *OCLC Systems & Services: International digital library perspectives*, 31(3),144-152, doi: 10.1108/OCLC-12-2014-0036
- [9]. Yeon-Hee Park, (2007).A study of consortium models for e-books in university libraries in Korea", *Collection Building*,26(3),77-83, doi: 10.1108/01604950710761634

Appendix

1. (1996) "W3C The World Wide Web Consortium", Online and CD-Rom Review, Vol. 20 Issue: 2, pp.98-99, doi: 10.1108/eb024569 (2000) "World's largest information consortium created", *Interlending & Document Supply*, Vol. 28 Issue: 2, doi: 10.1108/ilds.2000.12228bab.003
2. (1998) "Consortium of University Research Libraries (CURL) launches new Cedars project", *Asian Libraries*, Vol. 7 Issue: 9, doi: 10.1108/al.1998.17307iab.013
3. (1999) "International Coalition of Library Consortia announces Guidelines for Statistical Measures of Usage of Web-Based Indexed, Abstracted and Full Text Resources", *Asian Libraries*, Vol. 8 Issue: 4, doi: 10.1108/al.1999.17308dab.034
4. (1999) "NELINET signs MCB consortia agreement", *Interlending & Document Supply*, Vol. 27 Issue: 3, doi: 10.1108/ilds.1999.12227cab.006
5. (2000) "World's largest information consortium created", *Interlending & Document Supply*, Vol. 28 Issue: 2, doi: 10.1108/ilds.2000.12228bab.003
6. (2001) "Turkish consortium to use FirstSearch", *Interlending & Document Supply*, Vol. 29 Issue: 3, doi: 10.1108/ilds.2001.12229cab.029
7. (2002) "Consortium agreements with SwetsBlackwell", *Interlending & Document Supply*, Vol. 30 Issue: 1, doi: 10.1108/ilds.2002.12230aab.009
8. (2003) "EBSCO streamlines consortial purchasing, benefiting libraries and publishers", *Program*, Vol. 37 Issue: 3, doi: 10.1108/prog.2003.28037cab.012
9. (2003) "Public libraries and the consortium purchase of electronic resources", *VINE*, Vol. 33 Issue: 3, doi: 10.1108/vine.2003.28733cae.010
10. (2003) "Publisher Communications Group PCG announces first consortialink signing", *Interlending & Document Supply*, Vol. 31 Issue: 1, doi: 10.1108/ilds.2003.12231aab.026

11. (2004) "Cooperative development allows Voyager ILS interactions with disparate systems in academic consortium libraries", *The Electronic Library*, Vol. 22 Issue: 5, doi: 10.1108/el.2004.26322eab.017
12. (2004) "E-books from netLibrary for the North West Academic Libraries consortium", *Program*, Vol. 38 Issue: 4, doi: 10.1108/prog.2004.28038dab.006
13. Al-Baridi, Saleh A. (2016) "Survey of selected US academic library consortia: a descriptive study", *The Electronic Library*, Vol. 34 Issue: 1, pp.24-41, doi: 10.1108/EL-09-2014-0153
14. Alemna, A.A. and Antwi, I.K. (2002) "A review of consortia building among university libraries in Africa", *Library Management*, Vol. 23 Issue: 4/5, pp.234-238, doi: 10.1108/01435120210429961
15. Ball, David (2003) "Public libraries and the consortium purchase of electronic resources", *The Electronic Library*, Vol. 21 Issue: 4, pp.301-309, doi: 10.1108/02640470310491522
16. Bhatt, R.K. (2010) "Use of UGC-Infonet Digital Library Consortium resources by research scholars and faculty members of the University of Delhi in History and Political Science: A study", *Library Management*, Vol. 31 Issue: 4/5, pp.319-343, doi: 10.1108/01435121011046371
17. Bird, Gwen and Wong, Sabrina (2014) "Consortial shared print archiving: perspectives from Canada", *Library Management*, Vol. 35 Issue: 1/2, pp.45-55, doi: 10.1108/LM-05-2013-0045
18. Bixler, Linda S. (1995) "Access to databases: consortial style", *The Bottom Line*, Vol. 8 Issue: 4, pp.10-12, doi: 10.1108/eb025453
19. Bley, Robert (2000) "NESLI: a successful national consortium", *Library Consortium Management: An International Journal*, Vol. 2 Issue: 1, pp.18-28, doi: 10.1108/14662760010326132
20. Bowens, Amanda; and others (2001) "The processes and costs of local guideline development: experiences from the Path.Finder NHS consortium", *British Journal of Clinical Governance*, Vol. 6 Issue: 3, pp.159-165, doi: 10.1108/14664100110400688
21. Brevig, Armand (2014) "Consortium Approach to Resource Sharing in an E-Environment", *Library Management*, Vol. 35 Issue: 8/9, pp.684-685, doi: 10.1108/LM-09-2014-0104
22. Bruxvoort, Barbara (2003) "What are the fiscal impacts and/or challenges for libraries belonging to consortia? A bibliographic guide", *The Bottom Line*, Vol. 16 Issue: 2, pp.53-54, doi: 10.1108/08880450310474549
23. Bugg, Louise (2000) "Top ten issues for a consortium migrating to a client/server system", *Library Hi Tech*, Vol. 18 Issue: 2, pp.166-171, doi: 10.1108/07378830010333554
24. Burley, Diana and others (2012) "Leveraging higher education consortia for institutional advancement", *International Journal of Educational Management*, Vol. 26 Issue: 3, pp.274-283, doi: 10.1108/09513541211213345
25. Chen, Shunzhong (2013) "Promoting resource sharing through consortia: a case study of Shanghai Library", *Interlending & Document Supply*, Vol. 41 Issue: 2, pp.59-61, doi: 10.1108/ILDS-09-2012-0030
26. Chen, Ya-ning (2000) "Towards a new paradigm of resource sharing The partnership between a mirror site and consortium in Taiwan", *Library Consortium Management: An International Journal*, Vol. 2 Issue: 8, pp.190-197, doi: 10.1108/14662760010362385
27. Chunrong, Luo; Jingfen, Wang and Zhinong, Zhou (2010) "Regional consortia for e-resources: a case study of deals in the South China Region", *Program*, Vol. 44 Issue: 4, pp.328-341, doi: 10.1108/00330331011083220
28. Cochenour, Donnice and Rutstein, Joel S. (1993) "A CARL Model for Cooperative Collection Development in a Regional Consortium", *Collection Building*, Vol. 12 Issue: 1/2, pp.34-53, doi: 10.1108/eb023327
29. Darch, Colin; Rapp, Joan and Underwood, Peter G. (1999) "Academic library consortia in contemporary South Africa", *Library Consortium Management: An International Journal*, Vol. 1 Issue: 1/2, pp.23-32, doi: 10.1108/14662769910284258
30. David, P. Atkins; Judy, T. Greenwood and Pambanisha, Whaley (2014) "Benchmarking and pondering ASERL interlibrary loan operations, 2010 and 2013", *Interlending & Document Supply*, Vol. 42 Issue: 1, pp.16-25, doi: 10.1108/ILDS-01-2014-0010
31. Davies, Bryn (2001) "Consortia purchasing: a feasibility study for the UK veterinary and animal health field", *Library Management*, Vol. 22 Issue: 8/9, pp.371-380, doi: 10.1108/01435120110406318
32. Dwyer, Jim (1999) "Consortial review and purchase of networked resources: the California State University experience", *The Bottom Line*, Vol. 12 Issue: 1, pp.5-11, doi: 10.1108/08880459910256708
33. Echeverría, Mercedes and Jiménez, Sonsoles (2011) "Interlending and document supply in the context of Spanish library consortia", *Interlending & Document Supply*, Vol. 39 Issue: 4, pp.190-199, doi: 10.1108/02641611111187613
34. Edmonstone, John (1998) "Ready for take-off: realising the potential of the education and training consortia", *Health Manpower Management*, Vol. 24 Issue: 5, pp.188-191, doi: 10.1108/09552069810222810
35. Evans, G. Edward (2002) "Management issues of consortia. Part two", *Library Management*, Vol. 23 Issue: 6/7, pp.275-286, doi: 10.1108/01435120210432246
36. Evans, G. Edward (2002) "Management issues of co-operative ventures and consortia in the USA. Part one", *Library Management*, Vol. 23 Issue: 4/5, pp.213-226, doi: 10.1108/01435120210429943
37. Evans, Gwen and Schwing, Theda (2016) "OhioLINK – recent developments at a United States academic library consortium", *Interlending & Document Supply*, Vol. 44 Issue: 4, pp.172-177, doi: 10.1108/ILDS-06-2016-0021

38. Forro, Denise (2014) "Tyranny of distance: the challenges of coordinating a multinational consortium", *Interlending & Document Supply*, Vol. 42 Issue: 2/3, pp.83-87, doi: 10.1108/ILDS-01-2014-0004
39. Frasciello, Michael J. and Richardson, John (1999) "Distributed processing and Windows NT: The ideal infrastructure for library consortia", *Library Consortium Management: An International Journal*, Vol. 1 Issue: 3/4, pp.76-83, doi: 10.1108/14662769910305768
40. Freeman, Tom (1999) "Assessing the innovation capacity of the consortium: an evaluation of the CAM-I cost management systems program", *Journal of Knowledge Management*, Vol. 3 Issue: 1, pp.61-65, doi: 10.1108/13673279910259402
41. Fresno, Ana Maria Balenbin and Yap, Joseph Marmol (2014) "Academic library consortia in the Philippines: hanging in the balance", *Library Management*, Vol. 35 Issue: 1/2, pp.15-36, doi: 10.1108/LM-04-2013-0028
42. Froud, Rob (1999) "The benefit of Foursite: a public library consortium for library management systems", *Program*, Vol. 33 Issue: 1, pp.1-14, doi: 10.1108/EUM000000006910
43. Garthwait, Clayton and Richardson, Elizabeth A. (2008) "LibQUAL+™ in a consortium: KLN's challenges and considerations", *New Library World*, Vol. 109 Issue: 11/12, pp.499-511, doi: 10.1108/03074800810921322
44. Geller, Marilyn and Peterson, Jan (2000) "Library Consortia Documents Online", *Library Consortium Management: An International Journal*, Vol. 2 Issue: 1, doi: 10.1108/lcmij.2000.24702aab.001
45. Ghosh, Maitrayee; Biswas, S.C. and Jeevan, V.K.J. (2006) "Strategic cooperation and consortia building for Indian libraries: models and methods", *Library Review*, Vol. 55 Issue: 9, pp.608-620, doi: 10.1108/00242530610706798
46. Hafsa Mohd, Rosnah Yusof, Rohaya Umar, (2014) "Initiatives towards formation of academic library consortium in Malaysia", *Library Management*, Vol. 35 Issue: 1/2, pp.102-110, doi: 10.1108/LM-06-2013-0046
47. Haines, Margaret P.J. (1993) "DEVELOPING HMIC: THE HEALTHCARE MANAGEMENT INFORMATION CONSORTIUM", *Library Management*, Vol. 14 Issue: 3, pp.22-27, doi: 10.1108/01435129310027237
48. Hiremath, Uma (2001) "Electronic consortia: resource sharing in the digital age", *Collection Building*, Vol. 20 Issue: 2, pp.80-88, doi: 10.1108/01604950110388716
49. Hirshon, Arnold (1999) "Library consortia come of age", *Library Consortium Management: An International Journal*, Vol. 1 Issue: 1/2, doi: 10.1108/lcmij.1999.24701aaa.001
50. Hirshon, Arnold (1999) "The development of library client service programs and the role of library consortia", *Library Consortium Management: An International Journal*, Vol. 1 Issue: 3/4, pp.59-75, doi: 10.1108/14662769910305759
51. Huarng, Kun-Huang and Wang, Hui-Chuan Winnie (2009) "A survey study of the 2007 Chinese e-books consortium", *Library Management*, Vol. 30 Issue: 6/7, pp.430-443, doi: 10.1108/01435120910982122
52. Hunter, Karen (1999) "Consortia and publishers: a comment", *Library Consortium Management: An International Journal*, Vol. 1 Issue: 1/2, pp.19-22, doi: 10.1108/14662769910284249
53. Hurtt, James (2000) "Fitting the pieces together: selling to regional networks, consortia and libraries", *Library Consortium Management: An International Journal*, Vol. 2 Issue: 1, pp.4-7, doi: 10.1108/14662760010326105
54. Ilesanmi, Titilayo Comfort (2012) "Library consortium: IITA, Ibadan, Nigeria experience", *Interlending & Document Supply*, Vol. 40 Issue: 4, pp.187-191, doi: 10.1108/02641611211283840
55. Jalloh, Brimah (1999) "A plan for the establishment of a library network or consortium for Swaziland: preliminary investigations and formulations", *Interlending & Document Supply*, Vol. 27 Issue: 4, pp.158-165, doi: 10.1108/02641619910299272
56. José, Blanca San and Pacios, Ana R. (2005) "The impact of consortia purchasing of periodical publications on the document supply service", *Interlending & Document Supply*, Vol. 33 Issue: 4, pp.189-195, doi: 10.1108/02641619510635641
57. Katsirikou, Anthi (2003) "Consortia and knowledge management: the functional context and an organisational model", *Library Management*, Vol. 24 Issue: 6/7, pp.337-347, doi: 10.1108/01435120310486066
58. Kerscher, George (2001) "DAISY Consortium: information technology for the world's blind and print-disabled population – past, present, and into the future", *Library Hi Tech*, Vol. 19 Issue: 1, pp.11-15, doi: 10.1108/07378830110384520
59. Ketterer, Barbara A. (1993) "Library Automation Consortia: What Fees Should Members Pay?", *The Bottom Line*, Vol. 6 Issue: 2, pp.23-27, doi: 10.1108/eb025373
60. Kirlidog, Melih and Bayir, Didar (2007) "The effects of electronic access to scientific literature in the consortium of Turkish university libraries", *The Electronic Library*, Vol. 25 Issue: 1, pp.102-113, doi: 10.1108/02640470710729155
61. Knock, Douglas (2006) "Assessing the impact of the BLDSC price increases: the experience of CHILL, a consortium of London health libraries", *Interlending & Document Supply*, Vol. 34 Issue: 3, pp.109-114, doi: 10.1108/02641610610686661
62. Kohl David F. and Dervos, Claudine (1999) "Getting acquainted: HEAL-Link, the Greek National Academic Library Consortium", *Library Consortium Management: An International Journal*, Vol. 1 Issue: 3/4, doi: 10.1108/lcmij.1999.24701cab.002
63. Liu, Guoying (2009) "ERM system implementation in a consortium environment", *Library Management*, Vol. 30 Issue: 1/2, pp.35-43, doi: 10.1108/01435120910927501

64. Luo, Lili and Weak, Emily (2012) "Managing a text reference consortium: the My Info Quest experience", Reference Services Review, Vol. 40 Issue: 2, pp.311-325, doi: 10.1108/00907321211228345
65. Madhusudhan, Margam (2008) "Use of UGC-Infonet e-journals by research scholars and students of the University of Delhi, Delhi: A study", Library Hi Tech, Vol. 26 Issue: 3, pp.369-386, doi: 10.1108/07378830810903300
66. Mapulanga, Patrick (2013) "Licensing and negotiations for commercial e-resources in African libraries: Perils and promises for libraries in Malawi", Library Review, Vol. 62 Issue: 4/5, pp.253-265, doi: 10.1108/LR-01-2013-0008
67. Mapulanga, Patrick (2015) "Public procurement legislation and the acquisition of library materials in academic libraries in Malawi", Library Review, Vol. 64 Issue: 1/2, pp.101-117, doi: 10.1108/LR-05-2014-0047
68. Martey, A.K. (2004) "The Future Library Consortium in Ghana", Library Hi Tech News, Vol. 21 Issue: 2, pp.15-19, doi: 10.1108/07419050410533341
69. Maskell, Catherine A. (2008) "Consortia: anti-competitive or in the public good?", Library Hi Tech, Vol. 26 Issue: 2, pp.164-183, doi: 10.1108/07378830810880298
70. Maskell, Catherine (2009), Consortia activity in academic libraries: Anti-competitive or in the public good?, in Delmus E. Williams, James M. Nyce, Janine Golden (ed.) *Advances in Library Administration and Organization (Advances in Library Administration and Organization, Volume 28)* Emerald Group Publishing Limited, pp.81 - 151
71. McGrath, Mike (2003) "The consortium site licence: is it a sustainable model?", Interlending & Document Supply, Vol. 31 Issue: 1, doi: 10.1108/ilds.2003.12231aac.001
72. Medeiros, Norm (2006) "Accommodating consortia within electronic resource management systems: Extending the ERMI specifications", OCLC Systems & Services: International digital library perspectives, Vol. 22 Issue: 4, pp.238-240, doi: 10.1108/10650750610706934
73. Moghaddam, Golnessa Galyani and Talawar, V.G. (2009) "Library consortia in developing countries: an overview", Program, Vol. 43 Issue: 1, pp.94-104, doi: 10.1108/00330330910934138
74. Mulhern, Jean K. (2009), An exploratory case study of leadership for organizational agility in a consortium of small private college libraries, in Delmus E. Williams, James M. Nyce, Janine Golden (ed.) *Advances in Library Administration and Organization (Advances in Library Administration and Organization, Volume 28)* Emerald Group Publishing Limited, pp.59 - 79
75. Nfila, Reason Baathuli and Darko-Ampem, Kwasi (2002) "Developments in academic library consortia from the 1960s through to 2000: a review of the literature", Library Management, Vol. 23 Issue: 4/5, pp.203-212, doi: 10.1108/01435120210429934
76. Owen, Gareth Wyn (2016) "Delivering a shared library management system for Wales", Library Management, Vol. 37 Issue: 6/7, pp.385-395, doi: 10.1108/LM-04-2016-0032
77. Panda, Saroja Kumar; Arora, Jagdish and Rai, Ashok Kumar (2016) "Interlending and document delivery in India through INFLIBNET and the UGC-INFONET Digital Library Consortium", Interlending & Document Supply, Vol. 44 Issue: 3, pp.115-120, doi: 10.1108/ILDS-01-2016-0004
78. Pandian, M. Paul; Jambhekar, Ashok and Karisiddappa, C.R. (2002) "IIM digital library system: consortia-based approach", The Electronic Library, Vol. 20 Issue: 3, pp.211-214, doi: 10.1108/02640470210432357
79. Park, Yeon-Hee (2007) "A study of consortium models for e-books in university libraries in Korea", Collection Building, Vol. 26 Issue: 3, pp.77-83, doi: 10.1108/01604950710761634
80. Perushek, D. E. and Douglas, Anne (2014) "Culture, politics and university library consortia in China and the US: A comparative introduction to CALIS, GWLA and JULAC", Library Management, Vol. 35 Issue: 8/9, pp.594-606, doi: 10.1108/LM-03-2014-0039
81. Plemnek, Alexander and Sokolova, Natalia (2015) "Sharing resources of Russian libraries: 10 years of consortia services development", Interlending & Document Supply, Vol. 43 Issue: 3, pp.151-159, doi: 10.1108/ILDS-03-2015-0012
82. Plum, Terry and others (2010) "Measuring the impact of networked electronic resources: Developing an assessment infrastructure for libraries, state, and other types of consortia", Performance Measurement and Metrics, Vol. 11 Issue: 2, pp.184-198, doi: 10.1108/14678041011064098
83. Posigha, Bassil Ebiwolate; Godfrey, Vera Zacheaus; and Seimode, Felicia Doubra (2015) "The trend of academic libraries consortia in the north central and south east geo-political zones of Nigeria", Library Review, Vol. 64 Issue: 4/5, pp.305-320, doi: 10.1108/LR-07-2014-0084
84. Pye, Jo and Ball, David (1999) "Purchasing consortia: trends and activity in the UK", The Bottom Line, Vol. 12 Issue: 1, pp.12-18, doi: 10.1108/08880459910256717
85. Rogani, Joseph Frank (2007) "Library consortia and digital services: Users' perceptions at the University of Calabria", New Library World, Vol. 108 Issue: 11/12, pp.504-525, doi: 10.1108/03074800710838254
86. Roper, Angela (1995) "The emergence of hotel consortia as transorganizational forms", International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management, Vol. 7 Issue: 1, pp.4-9, doi: 10.1108/09596119510078153
87. Sayers, Richard (2004) "A smart place in the sun: future-proofing the Queensland Government Libraries Consortium", Library Management, Vol. 25 Issue: 6/7, pp.283-292, doi: 10.1108/01435120410547940
88. Schmetzke, Axel (2001) "Web accessibility at university libraries and library schools", Library Hi Tech, Vol. 19 Issue: 1, pp.35-49, doi: 10.1108/07378830110384584

89. Singson, Mangkhollen; Thiyagarajan, S. and Leeladharan, M. (2016) "Relationship between electronic journal downloads and citations in library consortia", *Library Review*, Vol. 65 Issue: 6/7, pp.429-444, doi: 10.1108/LR-02-2016-0019
90. Singson, Mangkhollen; Thiyagarajan, S. and Leeladharan, M. (2016) "Relationship between electronic journal downloads and citations in library consortia", *Library Review*, Vol. 65 Issue: 6/7, pp.429-444, doi: 10.1108/LR-02-2016-0019
91. Sloan, Bernie (1998) "Allocating costs in a consortial environment: a methodology for library consortia", *The Bottom Line*, Vol. 11 Issue: 2, pp.65-71, doi: 10.1108/08880459810215218
92. Sloan, Jane and Denda, Kayo (2011) "The New Media Consortium Annual Conference, Madison, Wisconsin, June 15-18, 2011", *Library Hi Tech News*, Vol. 28 Issue: 7, pp.5-6, doi: 10.1108/07419051111184025
93. Smart, Cherry-Ann and Stewart-Fullerton, Christina (2014), Exploration of an e-Resources Consortium in Jamaica: An Initial Examination and Tentative Suggestions for the Future, in Anne Woodsworth, W. David Penniman (ed.) *Mergers and Alliances: The Operational View and Cases (Advances in Librarianship, Volume 37)* Emerald Group Publishing Limited, pp.243 - 268
94. Stern, David (2003) "Comparing consortial and differential pricing models", *The Bottom Line*, Vol. 16 Issue: 4, pp.154-156, doi: 10.1108/08880450310507047
95. Stumpf, Frances F. (2003) "Centralized cataloging and processing for public library consortia", *The Bottom Line*, Vol. 16 Issue: 3, pp.93-99, doi: 10.1108/08880450310488003
96. Sue McGillivray, and others (2009) "Key factors for consortial success: realizing a shared vision for interlibrary loan in a consortium of Canadian libraries", *Interlending & Document Supply*, Vol. 37 Issue: 1, pp.11-19, doi: 10.1108/02641610910938578
97. Taole, Nthabiseng and Dick, Archie L. (2009) "Implementing a common library system for the Lesotho Library Consortium", *The Electronic Library*, Vol. 27 Issue: 1, pp.5-19, doi: 10.1108/02640470910934551
98. Tripathi, Manorama (2013), Consortia Initiatives in Higher Education Libraries in India: A Reconnaissance of the National Landscape, in Anne Woodsworth, W. David Penniman (ed.) *Mergers and Alliances: The Wider View (Advances in Librarianship, Volume 36)* Emerald Group Publishing Limited, pp.107 - 136
99. Turner, Rollo (1999) "National site licensing and consortia purchasing", *Library Consortium Management: An International Journal*, Vol. 1 Issue: 1/2, pp.33-40, doi: 10.1108/14662769910284267
100. Wade, Rona (1999) "The very model of a modern library consortium", *Library Consortium Management: An International Journal*, Vol. 1 Issue: 1/2, pp.5-18, doi: 10.1108/14662769910284230
101. Wagner, Anita S.; Simon, Alan C.; Pitchon, Cindy A. and Gormley, Dennis J. (1997) "Decentralized account management in a library consortium: a report of HSLC's implementation of Guardian", *Library Hi Tech*, Vol. 15 Issue: 1/2, pp.55-57, doi: 10.1108/07378839710307287
102. Weech, Terry L. (2002) "Back to the future – when resource sharing seemed to work. The rise and fall of a successful consortial resource sharing network", *Interlending & Document Supply*, Vol. 30 Issue: 2, pp.80-86, doi: 10.1108/02641610210430541
103. Wiley, Lynn and Clarage, Elizabeth (2012) "Building on success: evolving local and consortium purchase-on-demand programs", *Interlending & Document Supply*, Vol. 40 Issue: 2, pp.105-110, doi: 10.1108/02641611211239588
104. Xenidou-Dervou, Claudine (2001) "Consortial journal licensing: experiences of Greek academic libraries", *Interlending & Document Supply*, Vol. 29 Issue: 3, pp.120-125, doi: 10.1108/02641610110400310
105. Xi, Qingkui; Zhang, Qian; Ni, Feng; Cha, Guiting and Bao, Ping (2015) "Promoting ILL/DD within the Jiangsu Academic Library & Information System in China by small consortia", *Interlending & Document Supply*, Vol. 43 Issue: 4, pp.182-188, doi: 10.1108/ILDS-08-2015-0024
106. Xu, Diqiu (2010) "CALIS, CASHL and library consortium trend in China", *Library Management*, Vol. 31 Issue: 8/9, pp.690-701, doi: 10.1108/01435121011093450
107. Ye, Gan (Grace) and Bryant, Sally (2015) "Streamlined request services: the integration of ILS, ILL and Consortia borrowing systems", *OCLC Systems & Services: International digital library perspectives*, Vol. 31 Issue: 3, pp.144-152, doi: 10.1108/OCLC-12-2014-0036

A Bibliometric Survey of the Literature Published by Emerald on 'Library Consortia' from 1990 - 2016. A Kumar. Journal of Advances in Library and Information Science 6 (2), 104-113, 2017. 6. 2017.Â 2018. Library Consortia: Promises Access to the World of Information. A Kumar, Pravakar Rath. e-Library Science Research Journal 5 (7), 1-6, 2017. Electronic Library journal, Bibliometrics, Scientometrics, Webometrics, Content analysis, Scientific journal, Emerald, Akhtar Hussain, Nishat Fatima, Devendra Kumar, India.Â This study aims to present a bibliometric analysis of the Electronic Library journal, the aim being to offer a summary of research activity in library and information science and characterize its most important aspects. The paper analyzes a bibliometric study of 578 articles were published during the period January 1, 2000 to December 31, 2010 in the Electronic Library journal. The paper covers the bibliometric analyses of year-wise distribution of articles, category-wise classification of papers, subject-wise distribution of articles, authorship patterns, and institutions-wise distribution of Consortia Consortia could be described as group of organization who come together to fulfill a combined objective i.e. usually requires cooperation and the sharing of resources. The word consortia are originated from the Latin in early 19th century in the sense of partnership. Scopus Scopus launched in November 2004. It is the largest abstract and citation database of peer-reviewed literature, featuring smart tools to track, analyze and visualize research.Â Kumar Amit (2017) A Bibliometric Survey of the Literature Published by Emerald on 'Library Consortia' from 1990 â€“ 2016. Journal of Advances in Library and Information Science, 6(2),Pp 104-113 Veer D.K., Kadam Santosh & Kale, R.D. (2009) E-Consortia: A New Paradigm in Online Resource Sharing.